

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332)
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973)
Chief, Criminal Division

LAUREL BEELER (CSBN 187656)
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-6765
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
Email: laurel.beeler@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | Criminal No. CR 05 491 VRW |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | NOTICE OF RELATED CASE |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| CHRISTOPHER CALDER, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | Criminal No. CR 08 00210 MHP |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| CHRISTOPHER CALDER, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

The United States gives notice to the Clerk of the Court pursuant to Criminal Local Rule 8-1, Notice of Related Case, that it believes that these actions are related.

Under Rule 8-1, a criminal action is related to another pending criminal action when (1) both actions concern the same defendant and the same events or occurrences, or (2) both actions appear likely to entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges, or might create

1 conflicts or unnecessary expenses if conducted before different judges. N.D. Cal. Crim. Local R.
2 8-1(b).

3 Here, both cases involve the same defendant. The indictment in CR 08 00210 MHP relates
4 to Calder's failure to surrender for service of the sentence that the Court imposed in CR 05 491
5 VRW.

6 Because the cases involve the same defendant and conduct in the new case (failure to appear)
7 that is relevant and related to the sentence imposed by the Court in the earlier case (possession
8 with intent to distribute ecstasy), they are related. See N.D. Cal. Crim. Local R. 8-1(b)(1).
9 Assigning the cases to one judge will avoid the duplication of labor that would occur if the
10 matters were resolved before different judges. See N.D. Cal. Crim. Local R. 8-1(b)(1). This
11 means that assignment to a single judge will conserve judicial resources and promote an efficient
12 determination of the action. See N.D. Cal. Crim. Local R. 8-1 (c)(4).

13 DATED: April 3, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

14 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
15 United States Attorney

16 /s/
17 LAUREL BEELER
18 Assistant United States Attorney
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28